



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW 100-07

SCOTT A. MOSKOWITZ
16711 COLLINS AVENUE #2505
MIAMI FL 33160

COPY MAILED

MAR 09 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Moskowitz et al. :
Application No. 09/657,181 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: 7 September, 2000 :
Attorney Docket No. 066112.0132 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 28 November, 2006, under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 16 April, 2005, for failure to timely respond to the Office letter mailed on 15 March, 2005, which set a one (1) month shortened period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 5 December, 2005. On 30 December, 2005, a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment was filed. On 27 October, 2006, the petition was dismissed.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Petitioner has filed a Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b), stating that inventor Scott A. Moskowitz is authorized to sign on behalf of the assignee, Blue Spike, Inc.

An amendment, signed on behalf of the assignee, has been filed as the required reply.

The application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2857 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "D. Wood".

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions